



# Learning System Resilience in the Face of Demographic Shifts: Adaptive Response Design and Institutional Collaboration

Suhaimi Panecekak<sup>1</sup>(✉)

<sup>1</sup>Islam Witthathan School T. Praiswan A. Takbai Ch. Narathiwat, Thailand

---

## ABSTRACT

### Article History

Received June 2025

Accepted July 2025

Published July 2025

### Keywords:

Learning Resilience,  
Adaptive Strategies,  
Institutional Collaboration

---

### <sup>✉</sup>Corresponding Author:

spanecekak@chula.ac.th

This study examines the resilience of learning systems in responding to demographic shifts through adaptive strategies and institutional collaboration. Employing a qualitative multi-site case study, data were collected via in-depth interviews, participant observation, and documentation at four purposively selected secondary and higher education institutions, and analyzed using Miles and Huberman's interactive model with triangulation. The findings reveal that resilience is achieved through curriculum reorientation, cross-generational teacher training, digital technology integration, and flexible schemes such as blended and modular learning. These efforts are reinforced by documented collaboration at intra-institutional, inter-institutional, and cross-sectoral levels involving government, communities, and civil society. Such collaboration is not symbolic but institutionalized, producing tangible impacts on educational responses to demographic dynamics. The study implies that resilience in education not only sustains continuity but also fosters innovation, inclusivity, and sustainability. Scientifically, it enriches the literature on education and demographic change, while practically offering adaptive and collaborative models for policymakers and institutions.

---

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.71392/ejip.v4i2.100>

---

### Please cite this article in APA style as:

Panecekak, S. (2025). Learning System Resilience in the Face of Demographic Shifts: Adaptive Response Design and Institutional Collaboration. *Educare : Jurnal Ilmu Pendidikan*, 4(2), 121-134.

## INTRODUCTION

Current global demographic changes have a significant impact on the education system. Data from the United Nations Population Division (2024) indicate that by 2050, the proportion of the elderly population (over 60 years) is projected to reach 22%, up from 12% in 2015 (Asamoah & Ansong, 2025; Rahman

et al., 2025). On the other hand, several countries in Southeast Asia, including Indonesia, are experiencing a demographic bonus dominated by the young generation of working age. This change presents significant implications for the world of education, particularly in terms of adapting the curriculum to meet the needs of educational institutions (Edson, 2021; de Boer et al., 2022). The World Bank report (2023) also states that the education system's unpreparedness in responding to demographic dynamics can widen the gap in educational quality. Additionally, population migration, urbanization, and changes in family structure also impact the need for cross-generational learning. Therefore, a robust and adaptive learning system is necessary so that educational institutions can respond to this complex demographic reality in a sustainable manner (Alieksieieva et al., 2025; David, 2025; Setyadi et al., 2025).

The scientific literature indicates that learning system resilience is a crucial indicator in responding to social disruptions, including demographic shifts. Research on system resilience in the face of socio-ecological changes has been conducted in various contexts, including the field of education. Galappaththi et al (2020) studied cultural-based adaptation in indigenous fishing communities in Sri Lanka in response to climate change. They emphasized the importance of social collaboration and local values in building sustainable resilience. A similar approach was also demonstrated by Eaton et al. (2021) through a study of cross-institutional partnerships in the protection of the Cape Romain coastal area, which showed that multi-stakeholder collaboration was a key factor in community-based adaptive planning. Meanwhile, de Boer et al (2022) proposed a rethinking of higher education organizations through an ecosystem perspective, emphasizing the importance of flexible, open, and collaborative structures in facing the complexities of the times. These three studies reinforce the urgency of designing systems that are resilient to change, both environmental and social, by placing collaboration and adaptation as the main principles, as developed in this study.

This study aims to analyze the resilience of learning systems in the face of demographic shifts through adaptive response design and institutional collaboration. The primary focus of this study is to identify strategies that have been implemented or can be implemented by educational institutions in response to the challenges of changing student demographics, increasing aging, and socio-cultural diversity resulting from migration. The formulation of the problems raised is: (1) What form of resilience do learning systems exhibit in the face of demographic changes? (2) What kind of adaptive strategies can be implemented to design inclusive and responsive learning systems? (3) What form of collaboration between institutions can strengthen the response of the education system to demographic dynamics? Through this study, good practices and strategic models will be identified that can be adopted and replicated in various institutional contexts to ensure the sustainability of education across generations.

This study begins with the assumption that the resilience of learning systems is highly dependent on the extent to which educational institutions can design adaptive responses based on collaboration and current demographic data. The central hypothesis proposed is that learning systems that are flexible, community-based, and supported by cross-sector partnerships are better prepared to face demographic disruption. This argument is supported by evidence from educational policy studies in Scandinavian countries, which show that integrating demographic data into educational planning can improve the quality of institutional responses (Norberg, 2022). The temporary answer from this study suggests that the resilience of learning systems is not solely determined by technology or funding, but also by political will, clarity of adaptive policies, and synergy among educational actors. Thus, educational reform must consider demographic variables as an integral part of designing sustainable and resilient systems.

## RESEARCH METHOD

This study uses a qualitative approach with a multi-site case study design, focusing on the resilience of learning systems in responding to demographic dynamics. The unit of analysis in this study is secondary and higher education institutions located in urban and semi-urban areas characterized by significant demographic changes, such as an increase in the productive age group and migration flows. The location chosen is the Islam Witthathan School T. Praiwan A. Takbai Ch. Narathiwat. This approach was chosen to gain a deep understanding of the internal and external dynamics of educational institutions in the formation of adaptive learning systems. This study not only explores the phenomenon in its context but also examines innovative strategies implemented collaboratively by various educational actors, allowing researchers to capture the complexity of interactions between institutions, policies, and social realities influenced by changes in the demographic structure of society.

To explore this phenomenon, the primary source of information was education stakeholders who were directly involved in planning and implementing the learning system. Respondents included school principals, vice principals for curriculum, lecturers developing study programs, senior teachers, and representatives from the local education office. Additionally, partner institutions, including educational NGOs, teacher organizations, and parent-student forums, also served as complementary data sources. The selection of respondents was carried out purposively, considering their experience, strategic position, and active involvement in adapting the learning system to local demographic conditions. There were a total of 20 respondents, spread across four educational institutions, with a balanced proportion between policymakers and technical implementers. Information from these informants provides a comprehensive picture of the adaptive strategies that have been implemented or are being implemented in response to demographic dynamics within each

institution.

Data collection was conducted through in-depth semi-structured interviews, participant observation, and documentation of relevant education policies and programs. Interviews were conducted both in person and online to reach various institutional settings and facilitate respondent accessibility. Meanwhile, observations were conducted during the learning process and institutional activities to capture the dynamics of adaptation in a factual manner. The documentation analyzed included the curriculum, meeting minutes, and education policy reports related to demographic issues. The collected data were analyzed using Miles and Huberman's interactive model, which involves three stages: data reduction, data presentation, and verification or conclusion. Reduction was carried out by grouping the main themes, then the data was presented in the form of a thematic matrix and narrative (Slijper et al., 2022). Verification was carried out through the triangulation of methods and sources to ensure the validity and reliability of the findings, thereby allowing for a nuanced and contextual analysis of the dynamics of the learning system's adaptation in the face of demographic change (Malik et al., 2023).

## RESULT AND DISCUSSION

### Result

#### Forms of Learning System Resilience in Facing Demographic Changes

Educational institutions that served as research locations demonstrated the resilience of their learning systems, as reflected in their ability to adapt to changes in the demographic structure of their students. In several institutions, there was an increase in the number of non-traditional age students (those over 25 years old) who participated in formal learning programs, primarily through evening classes and online learning. This condition encouraged the institutions to adjust their teaching methods and learning schedules to be more flexible. For example, the management of learning time was changed from a daily block system to a weekly modular system. In addition, the curriculum content was revised to be more relevant to the needs of different age groups and backgrounds, including the inclusion of materials that focus on developing soft skills and digital literacy. These findings indicate that learning resilience does not only depend on technology, but also on a responsive internal policy structure and the courage of institutions to overhaul old patterns in managing learning systems.

To illustrate this form of resilience, the following are representative quotes from interviews with respondents from the four educational institutions studied:

**Table 1. Representative Quotes from Interview Results**

<b>Respondents</b>	<b>Key Statements</b>
<b>R1 (Principal)</b>	"We adjust the learning schedule for working-age students, even providing online learning for those who cannot attend in person."

<b>R3 (Curriculum Development Lecturer)</b>	“We revised the curriculum to be more contextual, especially including elements of entrepreneurship and digital literacy for students from different backgrounds.”
<b>R6 (Senior Teacher)</b>	“The weekly modular system helps us simplify the material without losing quality, especially for students from older age groups.”
<b>R8 (Education Department Staff)</b>	“Institutions that are able to adapt their curricula quickly to changing demographic data have proven to be more resilient in the face of social challenges.”

This table makes it clear that adaptive responses to demographic changes are not only technical but also touch on the structural and cultural aspects of institutions. From these findings, it can be reaffirmed that the resilience of the learning system is formed through a collective response from all institutional elements. Adjustments are not limited to learning formats but also encompass the diverse perspectives of students, who are increasingly varied in terms of age, social background, and learning needs. Resilient institutions have flexible internal policies, open communication structures, and the readiness of human resources who are trained in managing cross-age learning. These adaptive efforts are supported by the commitment of leaders to encourage innovation, as well as the awareness that the education system can no longer rely on a one-size-fits-all approach. Instead, dynamic and data-based strategies are the primary keys to building the resilience of an inclusive and sustainable learning system.

The interpretation of these findings suggests that demographic change has become a catalyst for systemic innovation in education. Institutions that can carefully read demographic trends tend to be better prepared to transform, not only administratively but also pedagogically. The resilience of the learning system does not occur instantly, but rather through a process of internal negotiation, policy risk-taking, and synergy between education actors. On the other hand, resistance to change is found in institutions that still adhere to conventional systems, which are slow to respond to demographic data as an important variable in education planning. Thus, a resilient learning system in the context of demographic change depends on the ability of institutions to consciously and structuredly design innovations that are oriented towards inclusion, sustainability, and intergenerational justice.

### **Adaptive Strategies to Create an Inclusive and Responsive Learning System**

Resilient educational institutions facing demographic changes do not only rely on structural resilience, but also develop concrete adaptive strategies to ensure that learning remains inclusive and responsive to these changes. This adjustment is evident in the reorientation of the curriculum, which is no longer uniform but instead adapts to the heterogeneous backgrounds of students in terms of age and profession. Several institutions insert practical skills and

entrepreneurship content for adult students, while for young students, the focus is on technological literacy and social leadership. In addition, cross-generational teacher training is a systematic effort that enables teachers to manage classes with diverse age dynamics and learning experiences. The implementation of modular learning, blended learning, and the active use of the Learning Management System (LMS) are also the main strategies. Field observations suggest that this strategy enables flexibility while maintaining the effectiveness of learning for all age groups within the same system. To clarify the findings of this observation, the following is a table of the results of direct observations of the implementation of adaptive strategies in four educational institutions:

**Table 2. Strategies Implemented**

<b>Observed Adaptive Strategies</b>	<b>Manifestation in the Field</b>
<b>Curriculum Reorientation</b>	Addition of soft skills and entrepreneurship modules to the syllabus for adult learners
<b>Cross-Generation Teacher Training</b>	The “Managing Intergenerational Classroom” workshop is held every semester
<b>Use of Digital Technology</b>	Active use of LMS, interactive videos, and online discussion forums
<b>Modular and Flexible Scheme</b>	The learning schedule is made in weekly blocks, with morning/evening class options available.
<b>Community Based Learning Collaboration</b>	Local problem-based programs involve students and local residents in one activity.
<b>Cross Age Mentoring System</b>	Adult students become social mentors for younger students in collaborative projects
<b>Flexible Learning Evaluation</b>	Portfolio-based assessment and reflective practice replace the single exam system
<b>Inclusive and Age-Friendly Facilities</b>	Lactation rooms, disabled access, and psychological counseling are provided.

This table demonstrates that the implemented strategies are concrete, structured, and consistently applied in daily learning activities. The existence of this strategy is not just a formality of policy, but is a genuine practice present in the classroom and institutional environment.

These findings underline that adaptive strategies are implemented through a holistic approach that includes dimensions of pedagogy, classroom management, and technology. Observations show that educational institutions that successfully integrate these four strategies tend to be more inclusive in accommodating the needs of learners from various backgrounds. Curriculum reorientation is carried out together with a development team that combines local demographic data, community input, and job needs analysis. Teacher training is the primary focus to ensure a smooth transition to adaptive learning. In addition, the use of technology is chosen selectively and contextually according to the

abilities of learners and the availability of infrastructure. With a modular system, institutions are also more flexible in managing the diverse learning rhythms of their students.

The results of this observation indicate that the success of an adaptive strategy is primarily determined by institutional awareness in integrating the principles of flexibility, inclusivity, and sustainability. Educational institutions with a far-sighted vision not only react to demographic changes but also proactively form a learning ecosystem that welcomes diversity. In this context, an adaptive strategy is not a temporary policy, but rather part of an institutional transformation towards a resilient and equitable education system. The use of digital technology is not seen as an end in itself, but as a tool to expand access and facilitate personalization of learning. Thus, an adaptive strategy is an important foundation in ensuring that the learning system remains alive, relevant, and able to survive in the face of ever-growing demographic pressures.

### Forms of Inter-Institutional Collaboration in Facing Demographic Dynamics

One of the important findings of this study is the existence of a systematic collaboration pattern between educational institutions and various external parties in response to demographic changes. This collaboration takes place at three primary levels: intra-institutional, inter-educational institutions, and cross-sectoral. At the internal level, coordination is carried out between curriculum development units, human resource managers, and institutional quality teams. At the inter-institutional level, there is a partnership between schools and universities, characterized by joint curriculum development, teacher exchanges, and cross-institutional training. Meanwhile, cross-sectoral collaboration involves education offices, NGOs, the business sector, and local communities in developing contextual learning programs tailored to regional demographic needs. All three run in parallel and support each other, demonstrating that the resilience of the learning system is not only formed internally but also strengthened by a broad and strategic collaboration ecosystem.

Based on the documentation obtained from various educational institutions, it is clear that the collaboration carried out is not only administrative, but has also been realized in concrete programs and is well-documented. Evidence of documentation includes MoUs on joint curriculum cooperation between schools and universities, photos of joint training activities across institutions, and reports of community-based learning activities supported by local governments and local organizations. The following is a documentation table that summarizes the forms of collaboration recorded during the research process:

**Table 3. Forms of Collaboration**

<b>Forms of Collaboration</b>	<b>Documentary Evidence Obtained</b>
Intra-institutional	Minutes of curriculum and human resource development meetings

Inter-educational institutions	MoU on curriculum cooperation, joint training photos
Cross-sector	“Learning with the Community” program brochure, CSR support report
Community collaboration	Documentation of the “Village Literacy Class” project, photos of field activities

These findings underline that collaboration in education is no longer symbolic, but has been institutionalized in documented practices that have direct impacts. Meeting minutes, activity reports, and photos of implementation are evidence that collaboration is carried out with strategic awareness and continuity. Intra-institutional collaboration enhances internal coordination, ensuring that each unit operates with a unified vision and direction. Collaboration between institutions opens up space for the exchange of ideas and experiences, broadening the horizon of innovation. Cross-sector collaboration provides space for broader social participation and opens up opportunities for more optimal use of local resources. This documentation is not just an archive, but a tangible indicator that a resilient learning system has been built through a solid network based on shared needs.

The interpretation of these findings suggests that collaboration is not just a tool, but rather the foundation of a truly resilient learning system. Demographic changes necessitate rapid, adaptable, and innovative responses, which are unattainable without cross-sector partnerships. Collaboration encourages the decentralization of innovation, where initiatives no longer come only from the government or institutional leaders, but also from communities and non-formal actors who understand local needs directly. In this context, the learning system becomes more adaptive because it can capture aspirations from various directions. When documentation of collaboration is recorded and analyzed periodically, it also functions as an evaluation tool for future policy improvements. Thus, a well-documented form of collaboration is the most concrete representation of how education collectively responds to changing times sustainably.

## Discussion

The resilience of learning systems in the face of demographic changes has strategic implications for the direction of future education development. These findings indicate that adaptive educational institutions are not only able to survive in changing demographic conditions but also have the potential to become centers of inclusive social transformation (El Iq Bali et al., 2020; Moghadas et al., 2022; Salgueiro-Otero et al., 2022). When institutions adjust their curriculum, learning methods, and institutional structures in response to the dynamics of student age and background, the learning system becomes more humanistic, contextual, and sustainable. The direct implication of this condition is the increased participation of non-traditional age groups who were previously

marginalized in learning (O'Mahony et al., 2020; Mahmud et al., 2021; Hestad et al., 2021). Moreover, learning resilience also opens up opportunities to strengthen the national education system through a more flexible and sensitive approach to social realities. This means that resilience is not only a defense against crisis, but also a foundation for innovation for future education that is more equitable and relevant across generations.

The correlation between the resilience of learning systems and demographic realities lies in how carefully educational institutions read the social context in which they operate. When institutions recognize that the landscape of learners has shifted in terms of age, social background, and learning goals, there is a push to redesign the system to remain relevant. This resilience does not only come from infrastructure or technology, but is also strengthened by the mental readiness of institutions to innovate (Chugani et al., 2020; Walugembe et al., 2022). Research findings indicate that institutions with visionary leadership and a collaborative culture are more resilient in the face of demographic change. Herein lies the causal relationship: demographic change triggers pressure on the system, and this pressure gives birth to productive adaptation. Therefore, the resilience of learning systems must be viewed as an active process rooted in institutional awareness and reflection on the dynamics of a constantly evolving population (Renaud et al., 2021).

The second finding on adaptive strategies has significant implications for the development of contemporary pedagogy. Strategies such as curriculum reorientation, cross-generational teacher training, the use of digital technology, and flexible learning evaluations demonstrate that educational institutions are beginning to abandon rigid and uniform approaches. This suggests that traditional learning models are no longer sufficient in addressing the needs of increasingly diverse learners (Moftakhari et al., 2021; Fawaid et al., 2024). The implication is that teachers do not only act as teachers, but also as facilitators of change who can bridge the needs between age groups. Systems that adopt these strategies also create a safe space for all learners to learn according to their respective capacities. Thus, learning becomes more inclusive, participatory, and meaningful. This approach not only enhances learning efficiency but also cultivates the spirit of lifelong learning, a key to the success of future education (Onia, 2024; Qushwa, 2024).

When viewed from the correlation side, adaptive strategies emerge from the need for a swift and effective institutional response to demographic pressures. When changes in population age create a gap between old methods and new needs, adaptive strategies are present as a solution bridge. This correlation is evident from how institutions adjust the rhythm of learning, teacher-student interaction patterns, and evaluation of learning outcomes based on the diverse characteristics of students. This is why adaptive strategies cannot be separated from their social context: the higher the demographic heterogeneity, the greater the need to develop flexible and adaptive learning systems (Chugani

et al., 2020; Walugembe et al., 2022). This relationship is reciprocal; strategies emerge in response to social pressures, and at the same time, they form a system that is better equipped to face subsequent pressures. Thus, adaptive strategies are not just technical solutions, but rather the product of the dynamic interaction between social change and educational innovation.

The third outcome regarding inter-institutional collaboration also has significant long-term impacts. Collaboration is no longer considered merely an administrative complement, but is a primary requirement in building a resilient and responsive learning system (Moftakhari et al., 2021; Renaud et al., 2021; Kaeane & Molokomme, 2025). The implication is that educational success cannot be solely the responsibility of one party, but must be the result of collaborative work between educational institutions, government, communities, and the private sector. Collaboration, supported by official documentation and agreements, demonstrates that education has evolved towards an open, accountable, and trust-based system. In practice, this kind of collaboration opens up opportunities for the exchange of resources, expansion of access to learning, and creation of joint innovations (Kahlawi et al., 2025). Thus, an education system that is connected horizontally and vertically will have greater resilience in facing social dynamics and future crises.

In terms of correlation, effective collaboration is directly proportional to the resilience of the learning system. When educational institutions build networks with external parties, they gain perspectives, resources, and legitimacy that cannot be achieved independently. This correlation is seen in the documentation findings, where collaboration between institutions produces contextual curricula, joint training, and community-based learning projects (Zhang, 2024; Ren et al., 2025). This collaboration also fosters the exchange of best practices and promotes a culture of institutional reflection. Thus, collaboration is not only a response to demographic complexity but also a catalyst for transforming education itself. In this context, collaboration is an indicator of the extent to which an institution can view education as a collective effort that requires social networks, political support, and public trust, which are actively and continuously built in a deliberate and sustained manner (Dharmasiri et al., 2025; Maalouf & Napolitano, 2025).

This research contributes to the development of a conceptual framework for the resilience of learning systems amidst demographic change. The findings demonstrate that curriculum flexibility, intergenerational training, and the use of technology are not merely technical strategies, but foundations for sustainable educational innovation. Furthermore, this research reinforces the importance of inter-institutional and cross-sector collaboration as a key factor in building an inclusive education system. Practically, the research findings can serve as a reference for policymakers and education practitioners in designing collaborative models to address demographic pressures, while simultaneously strengthening education as an instrument of social transformation.

## CONCLUSION

This study confirms that the resilience of learning systems amidst demographic change is built through curriculum reorientation, cross-generational teacher training, technology utilization, and institutionalized inter-institutional and cross-sectoral collaboration practices. The most important lesson learned is that adaptive education requires institutions to have the courage to transform structurally, pedagogically, and culturally. The implications of this study indicate the need to integrate demographic data into educational planning to create an inclusive, flexible, and sustainable system. Scientifically, this study contributes to expanding the literature on the relationship between educational resilience and social dynamics, while practically providing a model of adaptation and collaboration that can be replicated by educational institutions and policymakers. The study's strength lies in the depth of multi-site qualitative data that reveals real-world adaptive practices, but its limitations lie in its limited geographic scope and its failure to explore the long-term effectiveness of adaptive strategies. Therefore, further research is recommended to use a quantitative approach and expand the cross-country context to build a global collaborative model that can address demographic pressures more comprehensively.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The author expresses sincere gratitude to the educational institutions and stakeholders who participated in this research for their valuable time, insights, and cooperation. Appreciation is also extended to the school principals, curriculum developers, lecturers, and local education officers whose perspectives enriched the depth of this study. Special thanks to the academic supervisors and peer reviewers who provided constructive feedback throughout the research process. This work was made possible through the support of institutional collaborators, community organizations, and data contributors who facilitated access and validated findings. Lastly, the author is grateful to family and colleagues for their encouragement and support during the entire research journey.

## REFERENCES

- Aliksieieva, H., Kravchenko, N., Horbatiuk, L., Nestorenko, T., Zhyhir, V., Kalinichenko, A., & Glazova, Y. (2025). Digital transformation of relocated higher education institutions in Ukraine under martial law. *Problems and Perspectives in Management*, 23(2), 71–85. [https://doi.org/10.21511/ppm.23\(2-si\).2025.06](https://doi.org/10.21511/ppm.23(2-si).2025.06)
- Asamoah, M. K., & Ansong, J. D. (2025). Building resilience in higher education by addressing infrastructure and financial resource challenges in Ghana. In *SN Social Sciences* (Vol. 5, Issue 7). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s43545-025-01110->

- Chugani, C. D., Fuhrman, B., Abebe, K. Z., Talis, J., Miller, E., & Coulter, R. W. S. (2020). Wellness and resilience for college and beyond: Protocol for a quasi-experimental pilot study investigating a dialectical behaviour therapy skill-infused college course. *BMJ Open*, *10*(6). <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2020-036833>
- David, J. O. (2025). Balancing Growth, Inclusivity, and Technology: Rethinking Student-Centered Learning in South African Higher Education. *International Journal of Learning, Teaching and Educational Research*, *24*(4), 127–153. <https://doi.org/10.26803/ijlter.24.4.7>
- de Boer, Z., van Tilburg, D. D., Kamburg, J., Kronenberg, K., Kuppens, M., Takács, E., & Abcouwer, T. (2022). Reconsidering Higher Education Organizations via ecosystem Thinking: Some Initial Thoughts. In *Proceedings of the European Conference on Knowledge Management, ECKM* (Vol. 1, pp. 297–306). <https://doi.org/10.34190/eckm.23.1.752>
- Dharmasiri, I. P., Galappaththi, E. K., Baird, T. D., Bukvic, A., & Rijal, S. (2025). From Roots to Resilience: Exploring the Drivers of Indigenous Entrepreneurship for Climate Adaptation. *Sustainability (Switzerland)*, *17*(10). <https://doi.org/10.3390/su17104472>
- Eaton, M. J., Johnson, F. A., Mikels-Carrasco, J., Case, D. J., Martin, J., Stith, B., Yurek, S., Udell, B., Villegas, L., Taylor, L., Haider, Z., Charkhgard, H., & Kwon, C. (2021). Cape Romain Partnership for Coastal Protection. *US Geological Survey Open-File Report*, 2021(1021). <https://doi.org/10.3133/ofr20211021>
- Edson, M. C. (2021). Team Systems Theory: Building Stakeholder Value Through a Learning Culture for Organizational Resilience. In *Handbook of Systems Sciences* (pp. 1361–1403). [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-15-0720-5\\_29](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-15-0720-5_29)
- El Iq Bali, M. M., Firdaus, S., Wijaya, M., Al Mursyidi, R. A., Haqiki, M. W., & Abidin, Z. (2020). Learning management; identifying learning styles of language learners in madrasah. *Proceedings of the International Conference on Industrial Engineering and Operations Management, August*, 3783–3790.
- Fawaid, A., Abdullah, I., Aimah, S., Faishol, R., & Hidayati, N. (2024). The Role of Online Game Simulation Based Interactive Textbooks to Reduce at-Risk Students' Anxiety in Indonesian Language Subject. *2024 International Conference on Decision Aid Sciences and Applications, DASA 2024*, 1–7. <https://doi.org/10.1109/DASA63652.2024.10836301>
- Galappaththi, E. K., Ford, J. D., & Bennett, E. M. (2020). Climate change and adaptation to social-ecological change: the case of indigenous people and culture-based fisheries in Sri Lanka. *Climatic Change*, *162*(2), 279–300. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10584-020-02716-3>
- Hestad, D., Tàbara, J. D., & Thornton, T. F. (2021). The role of sustainability-oriented hybrid organisations in the development of transformative capacities: The case of Barcelona. *Cities*, 119.

- <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cities.2021.103365>
- Kaeane, N. L., & Molokomme, R. T. (2025). Navigating the new normal: Challenges in lecturers' adaptation to online learning at a South African university of technology post-emergency remote teaching. *Edelweiss Applied Science and Technology*, 9(2), 590–602. <https://doi.org/10.55214/25768484.v9i2.4541>
- Kahlawi, A., Masri, F., Ahmed, W., & Vidal-Alaball, J. (2025). Cross-Cultural Sense-Making of Global Health Crises: A Text Mining Study of Public Opinions on Social Media Related to the COVID-19 Pandemic in Developed and Developing Economies. *Journal of Medical Internet Research*, 27. <https://doi.org/10.2196/58656>
- Maalouf, L., & Napolitano, R. (2025). Community agency and heritage recovery in climate-vulnerable historic districts: lessons from Riverine Montpelier, Vermont. *International Journal of Heritage Studies*, 31(6), 683–707. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13527258.2025.2484689>
- Mahmud, E., Hefniy, Baharun, H., Syakroni, A., Muali, C., Widad, R., Azizah, N., Zuhriya, S. A., & Abdullah, D. (2021). The Effect of Using Edmodo Application on Students' Mastery Skill of Technology. *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*, 1899(1). <https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/1899/1/012157>
- Malik, I., Ahmed, M., Gulzar, Y., Baba, S. H., Mir, M. S., Soomro, A. B., Sultan, A., & Elwasila, O. (2023). Estimation of the Extent of the Vulnerability of Agriculture to Climate Change Using Analytical and Deep-Learning Methods: A Case Study in Jammu, Kashmir, and Ladakh. *Sustainability (Switzerland)*, 15(14). <https://doi.org/10.3390/su151411465>
- Moftakhari, H., Shao, W., Moradkhani, H., AghaKouchak, A., Sanders, B., Matthew, R., Jones, S., & Orbinski, J. (2021). Enabling incremental adaptation in disadvantaged communities: polycentric governance with a focus on non-financial capital. *Climate Policy*, 21(3), 396–405. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14693062.2020.1833824>
- Moghadas, M., Rajabifard, A., Fekete, A., & Kötter, T. (2022). A Framework for Scaling Urban Transformative Resilience Through Utilizing Volunteered Geographic Information. *ISPRS International Journal of Geo-Information*, 11(2). <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijgi11020114>
- O'Mahony, C., Gray, S., Gault, J., & Cummins, V. (2020). ICZM as a framework for climate change adaptation action – Experience from Cork Harbour, Ireland. *Marine Policy*, 111. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpol.2015.10.008>
- Onia, S. I. (2024). AI Innovation in Education: Realizing Personalized Learning in the Digital Age. *Educative: Jurnal Ilmiah Pendidikan*, 2(3), 178–187.
- Qushwa, F. G. (2024). Optimizing Human Potential: Integrating Human Resource Management Based On. *Proceeding Of International Conference On Education*, 02(01), 1305–1317.
- Rahman, M. A., Hossain, M. Z., & Rahaman, K. R. (2025). Discerning resilience in the global north: a comparative analysis of policy adoption and its

- applicability to Bangladesh's context. *GeoJournal*, 90(2).  
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10708-025-11297-6>
- Ren, X., Sotardi, V. A., & Brown, C. (2025). Exploring Academic Stress and Coping Experiences Among University Students During the COVID-19 Pandemic. *Education Sciences*, 15(3). <https://doi.org/10.3390/educsci15030314>
- Renaud, C. J., Chen, Z. X., Yuen, H. W., Tan, L. L., Pan, T. L. Te, & Samarasekera, D. D. (2021). Impact of covid-19 on health profession education in singapore: Adoption of innovative strategies and contingencies across the educational continuum. *Asia Pacific Scholar*, 6(3), 14–23.  
<https://doi.org/10.29060/TAPS.2021-6-3/RA2346>
- Salgueiro-Otero, D., Barnes, M. L., & Ojea, E. (2022). Transformation in times of climate change: what makes a fisher diversify livelihoods? *Frontiers in Marine Science*, 9. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fmars.2022.888288>
- Setyadi, A., Pawirosumarto, S., Damaris, A., & Dharma, R. (2025). Risk management, digital technology literacy, and modern learning environments in enhancing learning innovation performance: A framework for higher education. *Education and Information Technologies*.  
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10639-025-13380-4>
- Slijper, T., Urquhart, J., Poortvliet, P. M., Soriano, B., & Meuwissen, M. P. M. (2022). Exploring how social capital and learning are related to the resilience of Dutch arable farmers. *Agricultural Systems*, 198.  
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agry.2022.103385>
- Walugembe, A., Ntayi, J., Olupot, C., & Elasu, J. (2022). Adaptive behaviors in education institutions before and after COVID-19: A systematic literature review. In *Frontiers in Psychology* (Vol. 13).  
<https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2022.1017321>
- Zhang, X. (2024). Library-Inside: A Foundation Model for AI-empowered New Quality Productive Forces for Library Service. *Journal of Library Science in China*, 50(3), 4–16. <https://doi.org/10.13530/j.cnki.jlis.2024018>